Omphalopagus parasitic twin: A case report and literature review

Ramdhani Yadav¹, Asjad Karim Bakhteyar¹, Rupesh Keshri², Digamber Chaubey3, Sandip Kumar Rahul²

¹Pediatric Surgeon, Department of Paediatric Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, ²Pediatric Surgeon, Department of Paediatric Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Deoghar, Jharkhand, ³Pediatric Surgeon, Department of Paediatric Surgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

ABSTRACT

Parasitic conjoined twins arise from the embryonic death of one twin, whose body parts remain vascularized and attached to the primary twin. Parasitic twins are rare and present unique challenges regarding the location of their attachment to the autosite and shared organs. In this case report, we describe the presentation and treatment of a parasitic omphalopagus twin that shared its liver with the autosite left liver lobe. The condition was not recognized before delivery, most likely due to the lack of prenatal care in the remote rural area to which the patient belonged. After a vaginal delivery, the twins were referred to our tertiary center, where a successful separation of the parasitic omphalopagus twin from the autosite was achieved.

Key words: Autosite, Embryonic death, Omphalopagus, Parasitic twin

Parasitic conjoined twins result from the embryonic death of a twin, whose body parts remain vascularized and connected to the primary twin (autosite) [1,2]. They are very rare, with an estimated incidence of less than one in a million births [2,3]. Ambroise Paré is said to be the first to have described a headless twin whose body was attached to the abdomen of its twin counterpart in the 16th century [4].

Since then, individual case reports, case series, or some reviews of these cases have been the only means to draw important conclusions about the parasitic twins, their types, and clinical features. The parasitic part often has developed fetal parts that can be attached to the autosite in one of eight locations and given a name accordingly (i.e., thoracopagus when twins are essentially fused at the thorax, omphalopagus when twins are essentially fused at the abdomen, craniopagus when twins are essentially fused at any segment of the meninges, bony cranium and skull except foramen magnum, cephalopagus when twins are essentially fused from the head down to the umbilicus, parapagus when twins have shared umbilicus, abdomen, and pelvis, ischiopagus when twins are essentially fused at the pelvis, pyopagus when twins are essentially fused at the sacrococcygeal and perineal regions, or rachipagus when twins are fused dorsally above the sacrum). However, these eight sites represent only the more frequent attachment sites and several other sites can be involved with variations in the organs shared between the parasite and autosite.

Access this article online	
Received - 22 December 2023 Initial Review - 02 January 2024 Accepted - 07 March 2024	Quick Response code
DOI: 10.32677/yjm.v3i1.4404	

Since it is a rare entity with heterogeneous anatomy, unknown etiology and pathogenesis, it is recommended that all such cases be reported [5]. This report discusses the presentation and treatment of a case of a parasitic omphalopagus twin.

CASE REPORT

A 1-day-old-male patient, weighing 3.4 kg, presented with a parasitic twin attached to it. He was born of normal vaginal delivery at term to a 32-year-old para 3. This delivery was carried out at a primary health-care center near the patient's village in a remote rural region but there were no complications of labor or any significant perinatal events. There was no significant antenatal history and an early third trimester antenatal ultrasound did not report the condition.

On gross examination, a small incompletely formed male baby of size 10×7 cm was attached to the normal baby with point of attachment at abdomen (omphalopagus), having ill-developed face, developed bilateral ears and eyes, thorax, abdomen, and genitalia but with rudimentary limbs (Fig. 1a and b). On ultrasound evaluation, they had separate gastrointestinal tract but shared left lobe of liver. This anatomy was confirmed by contrast-enhanced tomography of the abdomen and chest. The echocardiogram of the autosite was normal.

Parasitic twin was separated under general anesthesia. Intraoperatively, separate gastrointestinal tract was seen and left lobe of liver was shared; interestingly, the parasitic twin had its

Correspondence to: Sandip Kumar Rahul, Department of Paediatric Surgery, Indira Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences (IGIMS), Patna, Bihar, India. E-mail: sandeep.rahul65@gmail.com

^{© 2024} Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

own gall bladder with a separate biliary drainage toward the bowel of the parasitic twin (Fig. 2a-c). The lower ribs on the right side were also attached to the parasite's ribs and this required division toward the parasite's side. Excision was done successfully (Fig. 2c). Post-operative period was uneventful. After separation, the normal child (autosite) had uneventful follow-up and normal growth.

DISCUSSION

Heteropagus twins are rare and have varying presentation depending on their site of attachment and the organs they share. Isolated case reports or case series form the bulk of the related literature. Spencer found 157 cases reported over 125 years in his review article in 2001 [6]. Sharma et al. reported 39 cases over 10 years in their review of heteropagus twins described in English literature [2]. Dejene et al. reported five cases at their center over a period of 9 years [7]. In a recent review by Mathur et al., 120 cases of heteropagus twins (including six at their two centers) were reported; rachipagus was the most common (41.67%) while omphalopagus was the next common variety (38.33%); limbs were formed in as many as 62.5% cases and 14.2% autosites had congenital heart disease [8]. Our case was an omphalopagus parasite with well-developed eyes, ears, external genitalia, and gastrointestinal tract with gallbladder but had rudimentary limbs. The autosite did not show any cardiac anomaly.

The pathophysiology of parasitic twinning is still unknown. The theory of abnormal twinning [9,10] and that the twins are



Figure 1: (a) Omphalopagus parasite with deformed face, but conspicuous ears, eyes, thorax, abdomen, genitalia, and limb buds (red arrow). (b) Omphalopagus parasite with well-formed genitalia and rudimentary lower limb buds (red arrow)

universally monozygotic have been challenged by cases where female autosite had parasites with presumed male external genitalia [7,11]. Contrary to the fission mechanism which explains most of the parasites described in literature, Logroño *et al.* described heteropagus twins after fusion of two embryos, where they conclusively demonstrated dizygosity using DNA typing. In their case, the parasite had perpendicular orientation to the autosite, which had semblance to mares where abnormal embryonal polarity led to resorption following early fusion [5]. Hence, both fission and fusion mechanisms can account for parasitic conjoined twins. For rachipagus, faulty secondary neurulation in a closed neural tube has been proposed where overproduction of neural tube fluid can leak into the subcutaneous tissue, thereby differentiating into various types of tissues [12].

Heteropagus twins are associated with unique challenges in antenatal detection, obstetric management, imaging issues to delineate their anatomy, timing of surgery with respect to age and presentation, difficulty in separation, and management of associated malformations in the autosite and outcomes. Antenatal detection of such cases has been poor and only a few cases reported in literature have been detected on a prenatal ultrasound. However, if detected parasitic twins should be differentiated from other anomalies which have poor prognosis. Being unusual and associated with variable outcomes due to different associations and risks during delivery, ethical considerations for continuation of such pregnancies exist when detected antenatally. However, as these cases have good outcomes, continuation of pregnancy is recommended. Fetal echocardiography is recommended because a subset of these patients has associated cardiac anomalies. Meningomyelocele and omphalocele are the other commonly reported associations which can cause long-term morbidities [8,13]. Individual anatomical configuration and position of the parasitic twin along with weight mostly determines the mode of delivery when compared to the isolated weight of the parasite [8]. Parental counseling regarding the outcomes is very important considering their anxiety, and the risks involved in managing such cases. Timing of surgery is as soon as possible after birth; but often patients are brought late to the hospital leading to delayed surgery. Non-detection during antenatal period, unsupervised non-institutional delivery, and



Figure 2: (a) The intraoperative picture where shared liver of the parasite (blue arrow) with the left lobe of the autosite (gray arrow) is demonstrated. (b) The intraoperative picture with similar details and well-formed intestines (orange arrow) in the parasite. Green arrow indicates the autosite. (c) The parasite with well-formed intestines (orange arrow) and external genitalia (black arrow)

delayed presentation to the hospital leading to delayed surgery are often seen in developing and poor countries like ours where health-care services are not uniform and often inaccessible to the poor. These factors lead to improper management and several complications. Implementation of universal health coverage programs ensuring antenatal, natal, and postnatal care would help detect and manage all these cases at institutions capable of operating upon such children.

Because most of the parasites usually do not share organs with their autosites, ultrasound, computed tomograms, or magnetic resonance imaging often suffice to delineate the anatomy to guide surgical separation which is then easy. Echocardiography of the autosite is recommended due to the possibility of cardiac malformations. In our case, ultrasound and computed tomogram could reveal the anatomy and echocardiography was normal. Longterm outcome after successful surgery is usually good. However, associated anomalies determine the future morbidities and mortality has been reported mostly secondary to major cardiac anomalies. In a review of 120 patients, Mathur *et al.* reported mortality in 10% of the patients [8]. Antenatal detection, institutional delivery, and multidisciplinary care are required for optimal management [4].

CONCLUSION

Parasitic twins represent a heterogeneous group with variable anatomy and embryology. Proper management must include a multidisciplinary approach for antenatal detection, delivery, perinatal care, and surgical management with rehabilitation. Early detection and timely surgery lessen complications and allay parental anxiety. Their separation may be an easy or tedious process, depending on the site of attachment and what structures are shared.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Written informed consent was obtained from parents for the publication of this case report and all associated images.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

All authors contributed to the completion of this work. The final manuscript was read and approved by all authors.

REFERENCES

- Satter E, Tomita S. A case report of an omphalopagus heteropagus (parasitic) twin. J Pediatr Surg 2008;43:E37-9.
- 2. Sharma G, Mobin SS, Lypka M, *et al.* Heteropagus (parasitic) twins: A review. J Pediatr Surg 2010;45:2454-63.
- Edmonds LD, Layde PM. Conjoined twins in the United States, 1970-1977. Teratology 1982;25:301-8.
- 4. Daronch OT, Rigolon LP, Moraes IP, *et al.* Onfalopagus parasitic fusioned twin: A case report. Int J Surg Case Rep 2023;106:108129.
- 5. Logroño R, Garcia-Lithgow C, Harris C, *et al.* Heteropagus conjoined twins due to fusion of two embryos: Report and review. Am J Med Genet 1997;73:239-43.
- 6. Spencer R. Parasitic conjoined twins: External, internal (fetuses in fetu and teratomas), and detached (acardiacs). Clin Anat 2001;14:428-44.
- Dejene B, Negash SA, Mammo TN, *et al.* Heteropagus (parasitic) twins. J Pediatr Surg Case Rep 2018;37:44-9.
- 8. Mathur P, Sharma S, Mittal P, *et al*. Heteropagus twins: Six cases with systematic review and embryological insights. Pediatr Surg Int 2022;38:963-83.
- 9. Fujimori K, Shiroto T, Kuretake S, *et al*. An omphalopagus parasitic twin after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Fertil Steril 2004;82:1430-2.
- Hwang EH, Han SJ, Lee JS, *et al*. An unusual case of monozygotic epigastric heteropagus twinning. J Pediatr Surg 1996;31:1457-60.
- Chadha R, Lal P, Singh D, *et al*. Lumbosacral parasitic rachipagus twin. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41:e45-8.
- 12. Pandey A, Singh SP, Pandey J, *et al.* Lumbosacral parasitic twin associated with lipomeningomyelocele: A rare occurrence. Pediatr Neurosurg 2013;49:110-2.
- Hailu SS, Solomon DZ, Gebremedhin YG, *et al.* Dorsolumbar parasitic twin associated with lipomyelomeningocele: A case report from a tertiary teaching hospital, Ethiopia, East Africa. Radiol Case Rep 2022;17:3820-4.

Funding: None; Conflicts of Interest: None Stated.

How to cite this article: Yadav R, Bakhteyar AK, Keshri R, *et al.* Omphalopagus parasitic twin: A case report and literature review. Yemen J Med. 2024;3(1):48-50.